### SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JULY 12, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S025520

PEOPLE v. WALDON (BILLY RAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to September 12, 2011. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S034800

PEOPLE v. DeHOYOS (RICHARD LUCIO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gary D. Garcia's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by August 8, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S047868

PEOPLE v. GEORGE (JOHNATON SAMPSON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Bruce Eric Cohen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by September 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 1, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S057156

PEOPLE v. CASE (CHARLES EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Jennevee H. De Guzman's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by January 6, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 9, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S058734

PEOPLE v. HOLMES (KARL DARNELL), McCLAIN (HERBERT CHARLES), & NEWBORN (LORENZO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Herbert McClain's opening brief by January 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 9, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 140 additional days are contemplated.

S120583

PEOPLE v. CAGE (MICKY RAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Theodore M. Cropley's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by October 10, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 12, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S133660

PEOPLE v. AMEZCUA (OSWALDO) & FLORES (JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David H. Goodwin's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Joseph Conrad Flores's opening brief by June 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 26, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S140173

PEOPLE v. FRIEDMAN (KENNETH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John F. Schuck's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 6, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S143531

PEOPLE v. LEON (JOSE LUIS)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 26, 2011.

## S190647 B217709/B221833 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. CABALLERO (RODRIGO)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to August 10, 2011.

S191872

PEARSON (KEVIN DARNELL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Yun K. Lee's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 4, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

#### S175014

#### TEEL ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of JAMES ALLEN TEEL, State Bar Number 150696, is revoked. The court further orders that JAMES ALLEN TEEL is suspended from the practice of law for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JAMES ALLEN TEEL is suspended from the practice of law the first 60 days of his probation.
- 2. JAMES ALLEN TEEL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on May 5, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JAMES ALLEN TEEL has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 984)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)